arXiv:1404.3117v1 [math.CV] 11 Apr 2014

A Bloch-Landau Theorem for slice regular functions

Chiara Della Rocchetta *
Dipartimento di Matematica "U. Dini", Università di Firenze
Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy, mchidel@gmail.com

Graziano Gentili
Dipartimento di Matematica "U. Dini", Università di Firenze
Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy, gentili@math.unifi.it

Giulia Sarfatti
Dipartimento di Matematica "U. Dini", Università di Firenze
Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Firenze, Italy, sarfatti@math.unifi.it

Abstract

The Bloch-Landau Theorem is one of the basic results in the geometric theory of holomorphic functions. It establishes that the image of the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ under a holomorphic function f (such that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$) always contains an open disc with radius larger than a universal constant. In this paper we prove a Bloch-Landau type Theorem for slice regular functions over the skew field $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions. If f is a regular function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{H}$, then for every $w \in \mathbb{B}$ we define the *regular translation* $\tilde{f}_w$ of f. The peculiarities of the non commutative setting lead to the following statement: there exists a universal open set contained in the image of $\mathbb{B}$ through some regular translation $\tilde{f}_w$ of any slice regular function $f : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ (such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\partial_C f(0) = 1$). For technical reasons, we introduce a new norm on the space of regular functions on open balls centred at the origin, equivalent to the uniform norm, and we investigate its properties.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30G35, 30C99
keywords: Functions of a quaternionic variable, Bloch-Landau Theorem.

1 Introduction

After the great development of the theory of holomorphic functions, there have been many attempts, and successes of different character, to build analogous theories of regular functions whose domain and range were the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$. The most successful theory, by far, has been the one due to Fueter (see the foundation papers [7, 8], the nice survey [21], the book [2] and references therein). More recently, Cullen (see [5]) gave a definition of regularity that Gentili and Struppa reinterpreted and developed in [12, 13], giving rise to the rich theory of slice regular functions. For this class of functions there have been proved the corresponding of several results of the complex setting, that often assume a different flavour in the quaternionic setting. For instance we can cite, among the most basic, results that concern the Cauchy Representation Formula and the Cauchy kernel, the

*The three authors acknowledge the support of G.N.S.A.G.A. of INdAM and MIUR (Research Project "Varietà reali e complesse: geometria, topologia e analisi armonica")

Identity Principle, the Maximum Modulus Principle, the Open Mapping Theorem and a new notion of analyticity [1, 9, 10, 11, 13]. Moreover, the theory of slice regular functions has been extended and generalized to the Clifford Algebras setting, and to the more general setting of alternative algebras, originating a class of functions that is also under deep investigation at the moment (see [3] and references therein, and [15, 16]).
An important property, that distinguishes slice regular functions from the Fueter regular ones, is that power series with quaternionic coefficients on the right, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ are slice regular. Furthermore, on open balls centred at the origin, to have a power series expansion is a necessary and sufficient condition for a function to be slice regular. Since we will work with functions defined on open balls centred at the origin, we will make use of this characterization. Indeed, the purpose of this paper is to prove an analog of the Bloch-Landau Theorem for slice regular functions. In the complex case, this result is an important fact in the study of the range of holomorphic functions defined on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. It states that the image of $\mathbb{D}$ through a holomorphic function cannot be "too much thin". In fact, under certain normalizations, it contains always a disk with a universal radius. One of the first lower bounds, $\frac{1}{16}$, of this constant is due to Landau, see the book [19]. The same author gave also better estimates in [18]. Recently, the Bloch's Theorem in the quaternionic setting has been investigated with success in [17].
In our approach, since composition of slice regular functions is not regular in general, we have to define the set of *regular translations* of a regular function f defined on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ of the space of quaternions. We then prove the existence of a universal open set $\mathcal{O}$, different from a ball, always contained in the image of the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ under some regular translation of any (normalized) slice regular function f. Thus we provide a further tool to the geometric theory of slice regular functions.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is dedicated to set up the notation and to give the preliminary results; in Section 3 we prove an important property of the uniform norm on balls centred at the origin; in Section 4 we define a new norm on the space of slice regular functions (on open balls centred at the origin) and we use it to set up a mean value theorem; the last section is devoted to prove a Bloch-Landau type Theorem for slice regular functions.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the non commutative real algebra of quaternions with standard basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$. The elements of the basis satisfy the multiplication rules

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1,\ ij = k = -ji,\ jk = i = -kj,\ ki = j = -ik,$$

that extend by distributivity to all $q = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$ in $\mathbb{H}$. Every element of this form is composed by the *real* part $\mathrm{Re}(q) = x_0$ and the *imaginary* part $\mathrm{Im}(q) = x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$. The *conjugate* of $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is then $\bar{q} = \mathrm{Re}(q) - \mathrm{Im}(q)$ and its *modulus* is defined as $|q|^2 = q\bar{q} = \mathrm{Re}(q)^2 + |\,\mathrm{Im}(q)|^2$. We can therefore calculate the multiplicative inverse of each $q \neq 0$ as $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{|q|^2}$. Notice that for all non real $q \in \mathbb{H}$, the quantity $\frac{\mathrm{Im}(q)}{|\,\mathrm{Im}(q)|}$ is an imaginary unit, that is a quaternion whose square equals -1. Then we can express every $q \in \mathbb{H}$ as $q = x + yI$, where x, y are real (if $q \in \mathbb{R}$, then $y = 0$) and I is an element of the unit 2-dimensional sphere of purely imaginary quaternions,

$$\mathbb{S} = \{q \in \mathbb{H} \mid q^2 = -1\}.$$

In the sequel, for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$ we will define L_I to be the plane $\mathbb{R} + \mathbb{R}I$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and, if Ω is a subset of $\mathbb{H}$, by Ω_I the intersection $\Omega \cap L_I$. Also, for $R > 0$, we will denote the open ball centred at the origin with radius R by

$$B(0, R) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} |\ |q| < R\}.$$

We can now recall the definition of slice regularity.

Definition 2.1. *A function $f : B = B(0,R) \to \mathbb{H}$ is* slice regular *if, for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$, its restriction f_I to B_I is* holomorphic*, that is it has continuous partial derivatives and it satisfies*

$$\overline{\partial_I} f(x+yI) := \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big) f_I(x+yI) = 0$$

for all $x + yI \in B_I$.

In the sequel, we will avoid the prefix slice when referring to slice regular functions.
For regular functions we can give the following natural definition of derivative.

Definition 2.2. *Let $f : B(0,R) \to \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. The* slice derivative *(or* Cullen derivative*) of f at $q = x + yI$ is defined as*

$$\partial_C f(x+yI) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x+yI).$$

We remark that this definition is well posed because it is applied only to regular functions. Moreover, since the operators ∂_C and $\overline{\partial_I}$ do commute, the slice derivative of a regular function is still regular. Hence, we can iterate the differentiation obtaining (see for instance [13]),

$$\partial_C^n f = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f \quad \text{for any} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As stated in [13], a quaternionic power series $\sum_{n\geq 0} q^n a_n$ with $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{H}$ defines a regular function in its domain of convergence, which is a ball $B(0,R)$ with R equal to the radius of convergence of the power series. Moreover, in [13], it is also proved that

Theorem 2.3. *A function f is regular on $B = B(0,R)$ if and only if f has a power series expansion*

$$f(q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n a_n \quad \textit{with} \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n!}\frac{\partial^n f}{\partial x^n}(0).$$

A fundamental result in the theory of regular functions, that relates slice regularity and classical holomorphy, is the following, [13]:

Lemma 2.4 (Splitting Lemma)**.** *If f is a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$, then for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, J orthogonal to I, there exist two holomorphic functions $F, G : B_I \to L_I$, such that for every $z = x + yI \in B_I$, it holds*

$$f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J.$$

The following version of the Identity Principle is one of the first consequences, (as shown in [13]):

Theorem 2.5 (Identity Principle)**.** *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$. Denote by Z_f the zero set of f, $Z_f = \{q \in B |\, f(q) = 0\}$. If there exists $I \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $B_I \cap Z_f$ has an accumulation point in B_I, then f vanishes identically on B.*

Another useful result is the following (see [1, 4])

Theorem 2.6 (Representation Formula)**.** *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$ and let $J \in \mathbb{S}$. Then, for all $x + yI \in B$, the following equality holds*

$$f(x+yI) = \frac{1}{2}\big[f(x+yJ) + f(x-yJ)\big] + I\frac{1}{2}\big[J\big[f(x-yJ) - f(x+yJ)\big]\big].$$

In particular for each sphere of the form $x + y\mathbb{S}$ contained in B, there exist $b, c \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $f(x+yI) = b + Ic$ for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Thanks to this result, it is possible to recover the values of a function on more general domains than open balls centred at the origin, from its values on a single slice L_I. This yields an extension theorem (see [1, 4]) that in the special case of functions that are regular on $B(0,R)$ can be obtained by means of their power series expansion.

Remark 2.7. *If f_I is a holomorphic function on a disc $B_I = B(0,R) \cap L_I$ and its power series expansion is*

$$f_I(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n a_n, \qquad \text{with } \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{H},$$

then the unique regular extension of f_I to the whole ball $B(0,R)$ is the function defined as

$$\text{ext}(f_I)(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n.$$

The uniqueness is guaranteed by the Identity Principle 2.5.

If we multiply pointwise two regular functions in general we will not obtain a regular function. To guarantee the regularity of the product we need to introduce a new multiplication operation, the $*$-product. On open balls centred at the origin we can define the $*$-product of two regular functions by means of their power series expansions, see [10].

Definition 2.8. *Let $f, g : B = B(0,R) \to \mathbb{H}$ be regular functions and let*

$$f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n, \quad g(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n b_n$$

be their series expansions. The regular product *(or $*$-*product*) of f and g is the function defined as*

$$f * g(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k} \Big),$$

regular on B.

Notice that the $*$-product is associative but generally it is not commutative. Its connection with the usual pointwise product is stated by the following result.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $f(q)$ and $g(q)$ be regular functions on $B = B(0,R)$. Then, for all $q \in B$,*

$$f * g(q) = \begin{cases} f(q)g(f(q)^{-1}qf(q)) & \text{if} \quad f(q) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad f(q) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

We remark that if $q = x + yI$ (and if $f(q) \neq 0$), then $f(q)^{-1}qf(q)$ has the same modulus and same real part as q. Therefore $f(q)^{-1}qf(q)$ lies in the same 2-sphere $x + y\mathbb{S}$ as q. We obtain then that a zero $x_0 + y_0 I$ of the function g is not necessarily a zero of $f * g$, but an element on the same sphere $x_0 + y_0\mathbb{S}$ does. In particular a real zero of g is still a zero of $f * g$. To present a characterization of the structure of the zero set of a regular function f we need to introduce the following functions.

Definition 2.10. *Let $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$. We define the* regular conjugate *of f as*

$$f^c(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \overline{a_n},$$

and the symmetrization *of f as*

$$f^s(q) = f * f^c(q) = f^c * f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \overline{a_{n-k}} \Big).$$

Both f^c and f^s are regular functions on B.

Remark 2.11. *Let $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$ be regular on $B = B(0,R)$ and let $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Consider the splitting of f on L_I, $f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J$ with $J \in \mathbb{S}$, J orthogonal to I and F, G holomorphic functions on L_I. In terms of power series, if $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \alpha_n$ and $G(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \beta_n$ we have*

$$f_I(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n a_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \alpha_n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \beta_n J = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (\alpha_n + \beta_n J).$$

Hence the regular conjugate has splitting

$$f_I^c(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (\overline{\alpha_n + \beta_n J}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \overline{\alpha_n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \beta_n J.$$

That is

$$f_I^c(z) = \overline{F(\overline{z})} - G(z)J.$$

The function f^s is slice preserving (see [4]), i.e. $f^s(L_I) \subset L_I$ for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Thanks to this property it is possible to prove (see for instance [10]) that the zero set of a regular function that does not vanishes identically, consists of isolated points or isolated 2-spheres of the form $x + y\mathbb{S}$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \neq 0$.
A calculation shows that the slice derivative satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the $*$-product.

Proposition 2.12 (Leibniz rule)**.** *Let f and g be regular functions on $B = B(0,R)$. Then*

$$\partial_C (f * g)(q) = \partial_C f * g(q) + f * \partial_C g(q)$$

for every $q \in B$.

A basic result in analogy with the complex case, is the following (see [9]).

Theorem 2.13 (Maximum Modulus Principle)**.** *Let $f : B = B(0,R) \to \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. If $|f|$ has a local maximum in B, then f is constant in B.*

In [14] it is proved that we can estimate the maximum modulus of a function with its maximum modulus on each slice.

Proposition 2.14. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$. If there exist an imaginary unit $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and a real number $m \in (0, +\infty)$ such that*

$$f_I(B_I) \subset B(0,m),$$

then

$$f(B) \subset B(0,2m).$$

In particular, if we set $m = \sup_{z \in B_I} |f(z)|$, then

$$\sup_{q \in B} |f(q)| \leq 2m. \tag{2}$$

3 Uniform norm and regular conjugation

This section is devoted to prove that the uniform norm on an open ball centred at the origin is the same for a regular function and for its regular conjugate.

Proposition 3.1. *Let c be in $\mathbb{H}$. Then the sets $\{cI | I \in \mathbb{S}\}$ and $\{Ic | I \in \mathbb{S}\}$ do coincide.*

Proof. Let $c = a + bJ$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $J \in \mathbb{S}$. Let us fix $I \in \mathbb{S}$. We want to find an element L of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $cI = Lc$, that is such that

$$aI + bJI = aL + bLJ. \tag{3}$$

Let us denote by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ the usual scalar product and by $\times$ the vector product. Recalling that for all imaginary units $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$ the following multiplication rule holds, see [13],

$$IJ = -\langle I, J\rangle + I \times J,$$

we can write equation (3) as

$$aI + b(-\langle J, I\rangle + J \times I) = aL + b(-\langle L, J\rangle + L \times J).$$

If we complete J to a orthonormal basis $1, J, K, JK$, of $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, then we can decompose

$$I = i_1 J + i_2 K + i_3 JK \quad \text{and} \quad L = l_1 J + l_2 K + l_3 JK,$$

obtaining that

$$J \times I = -i_3 K + i_2 JK \quad \text{and} \quad L \times J = l_3 K - l_2 JK.$$

Hence we need an imaginary unit L such that

$$\begin{aligned} &a(i_1 J + i_2 K + i_3 JK) + b(-i_1 + -i_3 K + i_2 JK) \\ &= a(l_1 J + l_2 K + l_3 JK) + b(-l_1 + l_3 K - l_2 JK). \end{aligned}$$

Considering the different components along $1, J, K, JK$, we get that L has to satisfy the following linear system

$$\begin{cases} bi_1 = bl_1 \\ ai_1 = al_1 \\ ai_2 - bi_3 = al_2 + bl_3 \\ bi_2 + ai_3 = -bl_2 + al_3 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

that has a unique solution (l_1, l_2, l_3) determining L. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0, R)$. For any sphere of the form $x + y\mathbb{S}$ contained in B the following equalities hold:*

$$\inf_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f(x + yI)| = \inf_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f^c(x + yI)| \quad \textit{and} \quad \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f(x + yI)| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f^c(x + yI)|$$

Proof. Let $q = x + yI$ be an element of B. Theorem 2.6 yields that f is affine on the sphere $x + y\mathbb{S}$ and there exist $b, c \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $f(x+yI) = b+Ic$ for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$. We want to compare now the value of f with the one attained by f^c by means of their power series expansions. If f has power series expansion $f(q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n a_n$ and we set $w = x + yJ$, then by Theorem 2.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(q) = f(x + yI) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} w^n a_n + \sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{w^n} a_n\Big) + \frac{IJ}{2}\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{w^n} a_n - \sum_{n\geq 0} w^n a_n\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 0}(w^n + \overline{w^n})a_n + \frac{IJ}{2}\sum_{n\geq 0}(\overline{w^n} - w^n)a_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n\geq 0} 2\operatorname{Re}(w^n)a_n + \frac{IJ}{2}\sum_{n\geq 0} -2\operatorname{Im}(w^n)a_n \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{Re}(w^n)a_n + I\sum_{n\geq 0} |\operatorname{Im}(w^n)|a_n. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Hence the constants b and c are

$$b = \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathrm{Re}(w^n)a_n \quad \text{and} \quad c = \sum_{n\geq 0} |\,\mathrm{Im}(w^n)|a_n.$$

Since the power series expansion of f^c is $f^c(q) = \sum_{n\geq 0} q^n\overline{a_n}$, we obtain that for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$

$$f^c(x+yI) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathrm{Re}(w^n)\overline{a_n} + I\sum_{n\geq 0} |\,\mathrm{Im}(w^n)|\overline{a_n}.$$

Notice that $\mathrm{Re}(w^n)$ and $|\,\mathrm{Im}(w^n)| \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $n \geq 0$, then, in terms of b and c, we can write

$$f^c(x+yI) = \overline{b} + I\overline{c}$$

for all I in $\mathbb{S}$. Hence

$$\sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f^c(x+yI)| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |\overline{b} + I\overline{c}| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |\overline{\overline{b} + I\overline{c}}| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |b - cI| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |b + cI|.$$

By Proposition 3.1 we obtain that

$$\sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f^c(x+yI)| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |b + cI| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |b + Ic| = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f(x+yI)|.$$

Exactly the same arguments hold for the infimum, so we can conclude also that

$$\inf_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f^c(x+yI) = \inf_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f(x+yI)|.$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$. Then*

$$\sup_{q\in B} |f(q)| = \sup_{q\in B} |f^c(q)| \quad \textit{and} \quad \inf_{q\in B} |f(q)| = \inf_{q\in B} |f^c(q)|.$$

Proof. If S is the subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$ defined as

$$S = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y \geq 0, x^2 + y^2 \leq R^2\},$$

then we can cover the entire ball B with spheres of the form $x + y\mathbb{S}$ as

$$B = \bigcup_{(x,y)\in S} x + y\mathbb{S} = \bigcup_{(x,y)\in S}\bigcup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} x + yI.$$

By Proposition 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{q\in B} |f(q)| &= \sup_{(x,y)\in S}\sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f(x+yI)| \\ &= \sup_{(x,y)\in S}\sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} |f^c(x+yI)| = \sup_{q\in B} |f^c(q)|\end{aligned}$$

and the same holds for the infimum, hence we have also

$$\inf_{q\in B} |f(q)| = \inf_{q\in B} |f^c(q)|.$$

□

4 A norm for a mean value theorem

The main technical tool to prove an analog of the Bloch-Landau Theorem for regular functions is stated in terms of a new norm. This norm is equivalent to the uniform norm, on the space of functions that are regular on an open ball B centred at the origin, $B = B(0, R)$. The motivation to introduce this norm relies upon one of its properties, stated at the end of the section, which is useful to prove a mean value theorem.

Let $f : B \to \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. Take $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$, I orthogonal to J and, according to the Splitting Lemma 2.4, let $F, G : B_I \to L_I$ be the holomorphic functions such that the restriction of f to $B_I = B \cap L_I$ is

$$f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J.$$

Let Ω be a subset of the ball B, and let $||\cdot||_\Omega$ denote the uniform norm on $\Omega \subseteq B$,

$$||\cdot||_\Omega = \sup_\Omega |\cdot|$$

For any $I \in \mathbb{S}$, we will indicate with $||\cdot||_I$ the function

$$||\cdot||_I : \{f : B \to \mathbb{H} \mid f \text{ is regular } \} \to [0, +\infty)$$

defined by

$$||f||_I^2 = ||F||_{B_I}^2 + ||G||_{B_I}^2.$$

Remark 4.1. *For all $I \in \mathbb{S}$, the function $||\cdot||_I$ does not depend on J; in fact, if we choose another imaginary unit $K \in \mathbb{S}$, orthogonal to I, then the splitting of f on L_I is*

$$f_I(z) = \tilde{F}(z) + \tilde{G}(z)K,$$

where $\tilde{F}(z) = F(z)$, because I and K are orthogonal, and hence $\tilde{G}(z) = G(z)JK^{-1}$. Then

$$|\tilde{G}(z)| = |G(z)JK^{-1}| = |G(z)|$$

for all z in B_I, and hence $||f||_I$ does not change.

Consider now the function

$$||\cdot|| : \{f : B \to \mathbb{H} \mid f \text{ is regular}\} \to [0, +\infty)$$

defined by

$$||f|| = \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} ||f||_I.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The function $||\cdot||$ is a norm on the real vector space $\mathcal{B} = \{f : B \to \mathbb{H} \mid f \text{ is regular}\}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}$, $I \in \mathbb{S}$, and take $J \in \mathbb{S}$ orthogonal to I. Let F, and G be the holomorphic functions on L_I, such that $f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J$ for all $z \in B_I$. Then:

- $||f|| = 0$ if and only if, for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$,

  $$0 = ||f||_I^2 = ||F||_{B_I}^2 + ||G||_{B_I}^2,$$

  and hence if and only if $F = G = 0$. By the Identity Principle 2.5 we can conclude that $||f|| = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$.
- Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the splitting of fc on L_I is $(fc)_I(z) = F(z)c + G(z)cJ$. Hence, using the homogeneity of the uniform norm, we have

  $$\begin{aligned} ||fc||^2 &= \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} ||fc||_I^2 \\ &= \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} \left(||F||_{B_I}^2 |c|^2 + ||G||_{B_I}^2 |c|^2 \right) \\ &= \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} \left(||F||_{B_I}^2 + ||G||_{B_I}^2 \right) |c|^2 = |c|^2 ||f||^2. \end{aligned}$$

- If F_j, G_j are the splitting functions of regular functions f_j with respect to I and J for $j = 1, 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(||f_1||_I + ||f_2||_I)^2 \\
&= \left(\sqrt{||F_1||^2_{B_I} + ||G_1||^2_{B_I}} + \sqrt{||F_2||^2_{B_I} + ||G_2||^2_{B_I}}\right)^2 \\
&= ||F_1||^2_{B_I} + ||G_1||^2_{B_I} + ||F_2||^2_{B_I} + ||G_2||^2_{B_I} \\
&\qquad + 2\sqrt{(||F_1||^2_{B_I} + ||G_1||^2_{B_I})(||F_2||^2_{B_I} + ||G_2||^2_{B_I})},
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
||f_1 + f_2||^2_I &= ||F_1 + F_2||^2_{B_I} + ||G_1 + G_2||^2_{B_I} \\
&= ||(F_1 + F_2)^2||_{B_I} + ||(G_1 + G_2)^2||_{B_I} \\
&= ||F_1^2 + F_2^2 + 2F_1F_2||_{B_I} + ||G_1^2 + G_2^2 + 2G_1G_2||_{B_I} \\
&\leq ||F_1^2||_{B_I} + ||F_2^2||_{B_I} + 2||F_1||_{B_I}||F_2||_{B_I} \\
&\qquad + ||G_1^2||_{B_I} + ||G_2^2||_{B_I} + 2||G_1||_{B_I}||G_2||_{B_I}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The last quantity in equation (7) is less or equal than $(||f_1||_I + ||f_2||_I)^2$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&||F_1||_{B_I}||F_2||_{B_I} + ||G_1||_{B_I}||G_2||_{B_I} \\
&\leq \sqrt{(||F_1||^2_{B_I} + ||G_1||^2_{B_I})(||F_2||^2_{B_I} + ||G_2||^2_{B_I})},
\end{aligned}$$

that is, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle(||F_1||_{B_I}, ||G_1||_{B_I}), (||F_2||_{B_I}, ||G_2||_{B_I})\rangle \\
&\leq \sqrt{||F_1||^2_{B_I} + ||G_1||^2_{B_I}}\sqrt{||F_2||^2_{B_I} + ||G_2||^2_{B_I}},
\end{aligned}$$

that holds thanks to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Therefore the function $||\cdot||$ is a norm.

□

Let us now show that the norms $||\cdot||$ and $||\cdot||_B$, defined on $\mathcal{B}$, are equivalent.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f : B = B(0, R) \to \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. Then*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}||f|| \leq ||f||_B \leq ||f||.$$

Proof. Let $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$, I orthogonal to J, and let F, G be holomorphic functions on L_I, such that $f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J$ for all $z \in B_I$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
||f||^2_B &= \sup_{q\in B}|f(q)|^2 = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\sup_{z\in B_I}|f_I(z)|^2 \\
&= \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\sup_{z\in B_I}|F(z) + G(z)J|^2 = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\sup_{z\in B_I}\left(|F(z)|^2 + |G(z)|^2\right) \\
&\leq \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\Big(\sup_{z\in B_I}|F(z)|^2 + \sup_{z\in B_I}|G(z)|^2\Big) \\
&= \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\left(||F||^2_{B_I} + ||G||^2_{B_I}\right) = ||f||^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely

$$\begin{aligned}
||f||^2 &= \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}||f||^2_I = \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\left(||F||^2_{B_I} + ||G||^2_{B_I}\right) \\
&\leq \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}}\left(||f_I||^2_{B_I} + ||f_I||^2_{B_I}\right) = 2||f||^2_B.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As we anticipated at the beginning of this section, in terms of the norm $||\cdot||$ we can state (and prove) a mean value theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Then*

$$|q^{-1}f(q)| \leq ||\partial_C f||$$

for all $q \in B$.

Proof. Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and take $J \in \mathbb{S}$ orthogonal to I. By the Splitting Lemma 2.4 there exist $F, G : B_I \to L_I$ holomorphic functions, such that $f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J$ for all $z \in B_I$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus for holomorphic functions (see for instance Theorem 3.2.1 in book [20]), we get that

$$|z^{-1}F(z)| \leq ||F'||_{B_I} \quad \text{and} \quad |z^{-1}G(z)| \leq ||G'||_{B_I}$$

for all $z \in B_I$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |z^{-1}f(z)|^2 &= |z^{-1}F(z) + z^{-1}G(z)J|^2 = |z^{-1}F(z)|^2 + |z^{-1}G(z)|^2 \\ &\leq ||F'||^2_{B_I} + ||G'||^2_{B_I} = ||\partial_C f||^2_I \\ &\leq \sup_{I\in\mathbb{S}} ||\partial_C f||^2_I = ||\partial_C f||^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since $||\partial_C f||$ does not depend on I, we have that inequality (8) holds for every $q \in B$. □

Remark 4.5. *As a consequence of Theorem 4.4 and of the Maximum Modulus Principle 2.13, we get also that if f is regular on $B(0,R)$, then for all $r \in (0,R)$*

$$\sup_{q\in B(0,r)} |f(q)| \leq r||\partial_C f||. \tag{9}$$

We conclude this section showing that, as the uniform norm, the norm $||\cdot||$ satisfies the following

Proposition 4.6. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0,R)$. Then $||f|| = ||f^c||$.*

Proof. Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$. By Remark 2.11, if the splitting of f_I is $f_I(z) = F(z) + G(z)J$ for all $z \in B_I$, then the regular conjugate of f splits as

$$f^c_I(z) = \overline{F(\overline{z})} - G(z)J.$$

Since the (complex) conjugation is a bijection of B_I and the modulus $|\overline{F(z)}|$ is equal to $|F(z)|$ for all $z \in B_I$, we get that

$$||f^c||^2_I = ||\overline{F(\overline{z})}||^2_{B_I} + ||-G||^2_{B_I} = ||F||^2_{B_I} + ||G||^2_{B_I} = ||f||^2_I. \tag{10}$$

Since equality (10) holds for every I in $\mathbb{S}$, we can conclude. □

5 The Bloch-Landau type Theorem

For $\varrho > 0$, let us denote by $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$ the open set

$$\mathcal{O}(\varrho) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} \,|\, |q|^3 < \varrho |\operatorname{Re}(q)|^2\}.$$

Notice that the intersection of $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$ with a slice $L_I = \{x + yI \;|x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the interior of an eight shaped curve with equation

$$(x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \varrho x^2.$$

We remark that this curve always contains two discs with positive radius depending just on ϱ. For example, we can take the discs centred in $(\frac{\varrho}{2}, 0)$ and in $(-\frac{\varrho}{2}, 0)$, of radius $\frac{37}{256}\varrho^2$. Therefore, the open set $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$ always contains two open balls of radius at least $\frac{37}{256}\varrho^2$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f : B = B(0, R) \to \mathbb{H}$ be a (non constant) regular function such that $f(0) = 0$ and that $\partial_C f(0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the image of B under f contains an open set of the form $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$ where*

$$\varrho = \frac{R|\partial_C f(0)|^2}{4||\partial_C f||}.$$

Proof. Since $f(0) = 0$, if $\partial_C f(0) = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose then that $\partial_C f(0) \neq 0$. Consider a point c outside the image of B under f, then $c \neq 0$. We want to show that c does not belong to $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$.
For all $q \in B$, define $g(q)$ to be

$$g(q) = (1 - f(q)c^{-1})^s.$$

The function g is regular on B and we can estimate its modulus in the following manner: let $\tau(q)$ be the transformation defined by

$$\tau(q) = (1 - f(q)c^{-1})^{-1} q (1 - f(q)c^{-1}).$$

Then, according to Proposition 2.9 and recalling that $|\tau(q)| = |q|$ for all q, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |g(q)| &= |(1 - f(q)c^{-1}) * (1 - f(q)c^{-1})^c| = |(1 - f(q)c^{-1})||(1 - f(\tau(q))c^{-1})^c| \\ &\leq \sup_{|q|<R} |(1 - f(q)c^{-1})| \sup_{|q|<R} |(1 - f(q)c^{-1})^c|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using Proposition 3.2,

$$|g(q)| \leq \left(\sup_{|q|<R} |(1 - f(q)c^{-1})| \right)^2,$$

that is

$$|g(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sup_{|q|<R} |(1 - f(q)c^{-1})|.$$

By the properties of the uniform norm and by Remark 4.5 we get then

$$|g(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1 + \sup_{|q|<R} |f(q)c^{-1}| \leq 1 + |c|^{-1} \sup_{|q|<R} |f(q)| \leq 1 + |c|^{-1}||\partial_C f||R. \tag{11}$$

The next step is to estimate from below the quantity $|g(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Notice that g is slice preserving, since it is the symmetrization of a regular function. Moreover $g(q)$ is never zero. For all I in $\mathbb{S}$ the map $z \mapsto z^4$ from $L_I \setminus \{0\} \to L_I \setminus \{0\}$ is a covering map. Since B_I is simply connected, we can lift the function g obtaining a holomorphic function $\Psi_I : B_I \to L_I \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\Psi_I(z)^4 = (1 - f(z)c^{-1})^s$$

and $\Psi_I(0) = 1$ (since $g(0) = 1$). Let Ψ be the (unique) extension to B of Ψ_I. Now $\Psi(0) = 1$,

$$\Psi(q)^4 = (1 - f(q)c^{-1})^s = g(q)$$

for all $q \in B$ and in particular

$$|\Psi(q)|^2 = |g(q)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all} \quad q \in B. \tag{12}$$

We want to use the power series expansion of Ψ to find a lower bound of $|g|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In particular we need to compute its slice derivative. Using the Leibniz rule 2.12 we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_C g(q) &= \partial_C[(1 - f(q)c^{-1})^s] = \partial_C[(1 - f(q)c^{-1}) * (1 - f(q)c^{-1})^c] \\ &= -\partial_C f(q)c^{-1} * (1 - (f^c(q)c^{-1})^c) - (1 - f(q)c^{-1}) * \partial_C (f(q)c^{-1})^c. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q = 0$ is a real zero of f (and hence of f^c), and since the operators of slice differentiation and regular conjugation do commute, if the power series expansion of f is $f(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n a_n$, we obtain that

$$(\partial_C f(q)c^{-1})^c = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n-1}\overline{na_n c^{-1}}$$

and hence that

$$\partial_C g(0) = -\partial_C f(0)c^{-1} - \overline{\partial_C f(0)c^{-1}} = -2\,\mathrm{Re}(\partial_C f(0)c^{-1}).$$

Moreover, since g (and Ψ) is slice preserving, $g(0) = 1$, and $\partial_C f(0)$ is real, we have

$$\partial_C \Psi(0) = \frac{1}{4}g(0)^{\frac{1}{4}-1}\partial_C g(0) = -\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Re}(\partial_C f(0)c^{-1}) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_C f(0)\,\mathrm{Re}(c^{-1}). \tag{13}$$

Let us set

$$M := 1 + |c|^{-1}||\partial_C f||R.$$

Fix $r \in (0, R)$ and $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Let $q \in \partial B_I(0, r)$, $q = re^{I\theta}$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. By equations (11) and (12) we obtain that for every $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$

$$M \geq |\Psi(re^{I\theta})|^2 \tag{14}$$

Using the series expansion of Ψ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(re^{I\theta})|^2 &= \overline{\Psi(re^{I\theta})}\Psi(re^{I\theta}) \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} r^m \overline{\Psi^{(m)}(0)} e^{-Im\theta}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} r^n e^{In\theta}\Psi^{(n)}(0)\right) \\ &= \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} r^{m+n}\overline{\Psi^{(m)}(0)} e^{I(n-m)\theta}\Psi^{(n)}(0). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

If we integrate in θ, then we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |\Psi(re^{I\theta})|^2 d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!n!} r^{m+n}\overline{\Psi^{(m)}(0)} e^{I(n-m)\theta}\Psi^{(n)}(0) d\theta.$$

Thanks to the uniform convergence on compact sets of the series expansion, we can exchange the order of integration and summation. Then, since $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{Is\theta} d\theta = 0$ if $s \in \mathbb{Z}, s \neq 0$ and equals 2π otherwise, just the terms where $n = m$ survive and hence we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} |\Psi(re^{I\theta})|^2 d\theta = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2m}}{(m!)^2}\overline{\Psi^{(m)}(0)}\Psi^{(m)}(0) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2m}}{(m!)^2}|\Psi^{(m)}(0)|^2.$$

By inequality (14) and since M is a constant, we obtain

$$M = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} M d\theta \geq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2m}}{(m!)^2}|\Psi^{(m)}(0)|^2.$$

Considering just the first two terms of the series expansion and using equation (13), we get

$$M \geq 1 + r^2|\partial_C \Psi(0)|^2 = 1 + \frac{r^2|\partial_C f(0)|^2|\,\mathrm{Re}(c^{-1})|^2}{4} = 1 + \frac{r^2|\partial_C f(0)|^2|\,\mathrm{Re}(c)|^2}{4|c|^4}.$$

Recalling the expression of M we have then

$$1 + |c|^{-1}||\partial_C f||R \geq 1 + \frac{r^2|\partial_C f(0)|^2|\,\mathrm{Re}(c)|^2}{4|c|^4}, \tag{16}$$

that is

$$|c|^3 \geq \frac{r^2|\partial_C f(0)|^2|\operatorname{Re}(c)|^2}{4||\partial_C f||R}$$

for all $r \in (0, R)$. Hence, if we take the limit as r approaches R, we obtain that if a point c is outside the image $f(B)$, then it satisfies the following inequality

$$|c|^3 \geq \frac{R|\partial_C f(0)|^2|\operatorname{Re}(c)|^2}{4||\partial_C f||}.$$

That is equivalent to say that the image of B under f contains the open set

$$\mathcal{O}(\varrho) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} \mid |q|^3 < \varrho|\operatorname{Re}(q)|^2\}.$$

where

$$\varrho = \frac{R|\partial_C f(0)|^2}{4||\partial_C f||}.$$

□

Let $w \in \mathbb{H}$, and let τ_w be the translation $q \mapsto q + w$. The composition of a regular function with τ_w is not regular in general. For our purposes we need to define a new notion of composition in this special case. Notice that if we restrict both functions to the slice L_I containing w, then we can compose them obtaining a holomorphic function.

Definition 5.2. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0, R)$ and let $w = x + yI \in B$. We define the* regular translation $\tilde{f}_w$ *of f to be the unique regular extension of $f_I \circ (\tau_w)_I$,*

$$\tilde{f}_w(q) = \operatorname{ext}(f_I \circ (\tau_w)_I)(q),$$

regular on $B(0, R - |w|)$.

In the proof of the main result, it will be useful the following

Proposition 5.3. *Let f be a regular function on $B = B(0, R)$ and let w_n be a convergent sequence in B, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_n = w \in B$. Set*

$$m = \max\{\{|w_n|, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{|w|\}\}$$

Then $\tilde{f}_{w_n}$ converges to $\tilde{f}_w$ uniformly on compact subsets of $B(0, R - m)$.

Proof. Clearly the sequence τ_{w_n} converges (uniformly on compact sets) to τ_w. Moreover, if $w_n \notin \mathbb{R}$ frequently, then (up to a subsequence) we define

$$I_n = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(w_n)}{|\operatorname{Im}(w_n)|} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} I_n = I.$$

If, instead, there exists a natural number n_0 such that w_n is real for all $n > n_0$ then we choose any $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and set $I_n = I$ for all $n > n_0$ in what follows. In both cases, $I \in \mathbb{S}$ is such that $w \in L_I$.
By Theorem 2.6 we can write for all $q = x + yJ \in B(0, R - m)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{f}_{w_n}(x + yJ)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[\tilde{f}_{w_n}(x + yI_n) + \tilde{f}_{w_n}(x - yI_n)\right] + \frac{JI_n}{2}\left[\tilde{f}_{w_n}(x - yI_n) - \tilde{f}_{w_n}(x + yI_n)\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[f_{I_n}(x + yI_n + w_n) + f_{I_n}(x - yI_n + w_n)\right]\\
&\qquad + \frac{JI_n}{2}\left[f_{I_n}(x - yI_n + w_n) - f_{I_n}(x + yI_n + w_n)\right]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left[f(x + yI_n + w_n) + f(x - yI_n + w_n)\right]\\
&\qquad + \frac{JI_n}{2}\left[f(x - yI_n + w_n) - f(x + yI_n + w_n)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since f is a continuous function, again by Theorem 2.6 we can conclude that (uniformly on compact sets)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{f}_{w_n}(q) = \tilde{f}_w(q)$$

for all $q \in B(0, R-m)$. □

In order to prove the Bloch-Landau type theorem we need a last step.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $f : B(0,R) \to \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function. Then $M : [0,R) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as*

$$M(s) = \max_{|q|\leq s} |f(q)|$$

is a continuous function.

Proof. If f is constant the statement is trivially true. Let us suppose then that f is not constant. The Maximum Modulus Principle 2.13 yields that the function $M(s)$ is increasing and hence for any sequence s_n converging (from above or from below) to s there exists the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(s_n)$. To show that the limit is equal to $M(s)$, consider first the sequence $\left\{s + \frac{1}{n}\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Since $B(0, s+\frac{1}{n})$ is relatively compact, we can find q_n such that $M(s+\frac{1}{n}) = |f(q_n)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and, up to subsequences, we can suppose that q_n converges to $q_0 \in \partial B(0,s)$. Therefore we have

$$M(s) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} M(s + \frac{1}{n}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} |f(q_n)| = |f(q_0)|,$$

where the last equality is due to the continuity of the function $|f(q)|$. Moreover, by definition of M we have that $|f(q_0)| \leq M(s)$ and hence that

$$M(s) = \lim_{n\to\infty} M(s + \frac{1}{n}).$$

Now q_0 lies in the closure $\overline{B(0,s)}$, hence we can find a sequence whose term p_n has modulus $|p_n| = s - \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p_n = q_0$. Then

$$M(s) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} M(s - \frac{1}{n}) \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} |f(p_n)| = |f(q_0)| = M(s).$$

Therefore we can conclude that M is continuous. □

Finally we have all the tools to prove the announced Bloch-Landau type theorem for regular functions. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be the unit open ball of $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{B} = \{q \in \mathbb{H} \mid |q| < 1\}$.

Theorem 5.5 (a Bloch-Landau type theorem)**.** *Let $f : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ be a regular function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\partial_C f(0) = 1$. Then there exists $u \in \mathbb{B}$ such that the image of the regular translation $\tilde{f}_u$ of f contains an open set obtained by means of a rotation and a translation of $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$, where the "radius" ϱ is at least $\frac{1}{32\sqrt{2}}$.*

Proof. Let us set $M(t)$ to be the function defined on $[0,1)$ by

$$M(t) = \max_{|q|\leq t} |\partial_C f(q)|,$$

fix r in $(0,1)$, and consider the function

$$\mu(s) = sM(r-s),$$

defined for $s \in [0,r]$. By Proposition 5.4, μ is a continuous function, $\mu(0) = 0$, $\mu(s) \geq 0$ for all $s \in [0,r]$, and $\mu(r) = r$. Set

$$R = \frac{1}{2} \min\{s \mid \mu(s) = r\},$$

then $0 < 2R \leq r$. Let $w \in \partial B(0, r-2R)$ be such that $|\partial_C f(w)| = M(r-2R)$, i.e. by definition of R, such that $|\partial_C f(w)| = \frac{r}{2R}$. Let us restrict our attention to the slice L_I containing w. Consider the function $\varphi_I : B(0,2R) \cap L_I \to \mathbb{H}$, defined by

$$\varphi_I(z) = (f(z+w) - f(w)) \frac{\overline{\partial_C f(w)}}{|\partial_C f(w)|}.$$

The function φ_I is holomorphic on $B(0,2R) \cap L_I$, because

$$|q+w| \leq |q| + |w| \leq 2R + (r-2R) = r.$$

Let φ be the (unique) regular extension to the entire ball $B(0,2R)$ of φ_I. Then $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\partial_C \varphi(0) = |\partial_C f(w)| = \frac{r}{2R}$, hence φ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1.
For $z \in B(0,R) \cap L_I$ we have that

$$|\partial_C \varphi_I(z)| = |\partial_C f(z+w)| \leq M(|z+w|) \leq M(r-R) = \frac{\mu(R)}{R}.$$

Since μ is continuous, $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\mu(r) = r$, then

$$\frac{\mu(R)}{R} < \frac{r}{R},$$

otherwise there would exists $s < 2R$ such that $\mu(s) = r$, a contradiction with the definition of R. Therefore

$$\partial_C \varphi_I(B(0,R) \cap L_I) \subset B(0, \frac{r}{R}).$$

Statement (2) of Proposition 2.14 implies then that

$$\partial_C \varphi(B(0,R)) \subset B(0, \frac{2r}{R}).$$

Considering the uniform norm we obtain

$$||\partial_C \varphi||_{B(0,R)} \leq \frac{2r}{R},$$

and hence, by Proposition 4.3,

$$||\partial_C \varphi|| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}r}{R} \tag{18}$$

on $B(0,R)$. Lemma 5.1 yields then that $\varphi(B(0,R))$ contains an open set $\mathcal{O}(\varrho)$ where

$$\varrho = \frac{R|\partial_C \varphi(0)|^2}{4||\partial_C \varphi||} \geq \frac{R\left(\frac{r}{2R}\right)^2}{4\frac{2\sqrt{2}r}{R}} = \frac{r}{32\sqrt{2}}.$$

Recalling the definition of φ, we get then

$$\tilde{f}_w(B(0,R)) - f(w) \supset \mathcal{O}(\varrho(r)) \frac{\partial_C \varphi(0)}{|\partial_C \varphi(0)|},$$

that yields

$$f(w) + \mathcal{O}(\varrho(r)) \frac{\partial_C \varphi(0)}{|\partial_C \varphi(0)|} \subset \tilde{f}_w(B(0,R))$$

Therefore for all $r < 1$ there exist $R_r > 0$ and w_r, with modulus $|w_r| = r - 2R_r$, such that the image of $B(0,R_r)$ through $\tilde{f}_{w_r}$ contains the open set $f(w_r) + \mathcal{O}(\varrho(r)) \frac{\partial_C \varphi(0)}{|\partial_C \varphi(0)|}$. When r approaches 1, by compactness, we can find subsequences $\{R_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$, converging respectively to $R_0 > 0$ and to $w_0 \in \mathbb{B}$ (in fact $R_0 = 0$ would imply that $\mu(0) = 1$ which is not). Thanks to Proposition 5.3 we have

then that $\tilde{f}_{w_n}$ converges (uniformly on compact sets) to $\tilde{f}_{w_0}$, and hence we get that the image of $\tilde{f}_{w_0}$ contains the open set

$$\mathcal{O}(\varrho)\frac{\partial_C\varphi(0)}{|\partial_C\varphi(0)|} + f(w_0)$$

whose "radius" is at least

$$\varrho = \lim_{r\to 1}\varrho(r) \geq \lim_{r\to 1}\frac{r}{32\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{32\sqrt{2}}.$$

□

It is easy to prove that if the regular translation $\tilde{f}_u$ that appears in the statement of Theorem 5.5 is a real translation (i.e. if u is real), then the universal set $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{32\sqrt{2}})$ is contained in $f(\mathbb{B})$.
It seems to us that, in general, there is not a universal open set directly contained in the image $f(\mathbb{B})$ of a (normalized) slice regular function f. And this might be connected with the fact that, as proven in [6], the Bloch-Landau Theorem does not hold in $\mathbb{C}^2$ (and $\mathbb{C}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}$ are strictly related).
In any case the authors plan to further investigate this fascinating subject in the near future.

References

[1] F. Colombo, G. Gentili, I. Sabadini, *A Cauchy kernel for slice regular functions*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **37** (2010), 361-378.

[2] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, F. Sommen, D.C. Struppa, *Analysis of Dirac Systems and Computational Algebra*, Birkhäuser, 2004.

[3] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, D. C. Struppa, *Noncommutative Functional Calculus. Theory and Applications of Slice Hyperholomorphic Functions*, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhauser, Basel, 2011.

[4] F. Colombo, G. Gentili, I. Sabadini, D. Struppa, *Extension results for slice regular functions of a quaternionic variable*, Adv. Math., **222** (2009), 1793-1808.

[5] C. G. Cullen, *An integral theorem for analytic intrinsic functions on quaternions*, Duke Math. J., **32** (1965), 139-148.

[6] P. Duren, W. Rudin, *Distortion in Several Variables*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **5** (1986), 323-326.

[7] R. Fueter, *Die Funktionentheorie der Differentialgleichungen* $\Delta u = 0$ *und* $\Delta\Delta u = 0$ *mit vier reellen Variablen*, Comm. Math. Helv., **7** (1934), 307-330.

[8] R. Fueter, *Über Hartogs'schen Satz*, Comm. Math. Helv., **12** (1939), 75-80.

[9] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato *The open mapping theorem for regular quaternionic functions*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), **8** (2009), 805-815.

[10] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato *Zeros of regular functions and polynomials of a quaternionic variable*, Michigan Math. J., **56** (2008), 655-667.

[11] G. Gentili, C. Stoppato, *Power series and analyticity over the quaternions*. Math. Ann., **352** (2012), 113-131.

[12] G. Gentili, D.C. Struppa, *A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **342** (2006), 741-744.

[13] G. Gentili, D. C. Struppa, *A new theory of regular function of a quaternionic variable*, Adv. Math., **216** (2007), 279-301.

[14] G. Gentili, I. Vignozzi, *The Weierstrass Factorization Theorem for slice regular functions over the quaternions*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., **40** (4) (2011), 435-466.

[15] R. Ghiloni, A. Perotti, *A new approach to slice regularity on real algebras* in Hypercomplex Analysis and its Applications, I.Sabadini and F.Sommen eds., Trends in Mathematics, Birkhauser, Basel (2011), 109-124.

[16] R. Ghiloni, A. Perotti, *Slice regular functions on real alternative algebras*, Adv. Math., **226** (2) (2011), 1662-1691.

[17] K. Gürlebeck, J. Morais, *Bloch's Theorem in the Context of Quaternion Analysis*, arXiv: 1201.0530v1

[18] E. Landau, *Über die Blochsche Konstante und zwei verwandte Weltkonstanten*, Math. Z., **30** (1) (1929), 608-634.

[19] E. Landau, *Darstellung und Begründung einiger neuerer Ergebnisse der Funktionentheorie*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1929).

[20] S. Lang, *Complex Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 103, Springer-Verlag, New York (1999).

[21] A. Sudbery, *Quaternionic analysis*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **85**, (1979), 199-225.